Case: 22-15260, 01/23/2024, ID: 12851538, DktEntry: 101, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAN 23 2024

THE ESTATE OF ISABELLA "BELLA" HERNDON; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

NETFLIX, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 22-15260

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

D.C. No. 4:21-cv-06561-YGR Northern District of California, Oakland

ORDER

At oral argument, the parties should be prepared to address the following issues:

- 1. Whether the meaning of the phrase "limitations period" in California Code of Civil Procedure § 366.1, which provides that a survival action may be commenced before the expiration of the later of "[s]ix months after the person's death" or "[t]he limitations period that would have been applicable if the person had not died," is ambiguous.
- 2. The impact, if any, of the Supreme Court of California's decision in *Weirum v. RKO Gen., Inc.*, 539 P.2d 36, 39 (Cal. 1975), on whether Netflix had a duty of care.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER CLERK OF COURT